

Contact Officer: Helen Kilroy

KIRKLEES COUNCIL

CHILD SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND SAFEGUARDING MEMBER PANEL

Friday 2nd December 2016

Present: Cllrs Marchington (Chair), Holmes, Ahmed, Allison, Bellamy (observer)

In attendance: Carly Speechley, Assistant Director (Family Support & Child Protection)
Mandy Cameron, Deputy Assistant Director (Learning and Skills)
Sharon Hewitt, Safeguarding Children's Board Manager
Helen Kilroy, Principal Governance and Democratic Engagement Officer

Apologies: Cllr Hill

1 Minutes of Previous Meeting and matters arising

In the absence of Cllr Hill, the Panel elected Cllr Marchington as Chair for the duration of the meeting.

The Panel considered the Minutes of the meeting held on 4th November 2016.

The Panel discussed the Ofsted report on Children's Services and agreed that they needed to look at the report and actions in more detail. Sharon Hewitt, Safeguarding Children's Board Manager, advised that there would be an action plan coming out of the inspection on the Kirklees Children's Safeguarding Board. Sharon Hewitt further explained that one of the main issues raised by Ofsted was relating to partners not sharing information as effectively and quickly as required and that work was ongoing with partners to make improvements in this area. The Panel noted that they would receive a report on feedback of the Ofsted Inspection on Children's Services on the 6th January 2017.

The Panel agreed that a review of the whole governance landscape was required on the various Panels and Boards with responsibility for children's services.

AGREED:-

- (1) That the Minutes of the meeting held on 4 November 2016 be agreed as a correct record.
- (2) That, in the absence of Cllr Hill, the Panel elected Cllr Marchington as Chair for the duration of the meeting.

2 Safeguarding of home schooled pupils and alternative educational provision

The Panel considered an update on the safeguarding of home schooled pupils and alternative educational provision and welcomed Mandy Cameron (Deputy Assistant Director - Learning and Skills) and Sharon Hewitt (Safeguarding Children's Board Manager) to the meeting.

Elective Home Education and Safeguarding

Mandy Cameron advised the Panel that the Education Safeguarding Team (EST) were responsible for registering, monitoring and making a learning offer of support for elective home educated children in line with current legislation. The Panel was advised that Kirklees had a robust system of referral in place that the majority of schools within Kirklees have signed up to. Kirklees was currently in the process of ensuring that its independent schools were aware of the Council's policies and procedures with regard to elective home education. Mandy Cameron further explained that the EST work hard maintain good relationship with parents who opt for elective home education and hold regular network meetings to keep communication open.

In response to a question from the Panel regarding numbers of home schooled children in Kirklees, Mandy Cameron agreed to circulate information on numbers of home schooled children at risk of CSE including those with special needs.

The Panel noted that one of the reasons for a parent choosing to home educate their child could be due to them having issues with their local school. The EST focussed on getting children back on to the educational roll wherever possible, but were mindful that parents may not be happy with their relationship with the school and may feel that the school has let them down. Mandy Cameron explained that a lot of schools in Kirklees made significant efforts to engage with families to get children back into school.

The Panel noted that if any child protection concerns were identified during communication with elective home educated parents, Kirklees safeguarding procedures would be followed. The EST were in the process of establishing a multi-agency panel that will assess the level of risk to home educated children. A multi-agency approach could then be adopted to ensure children in Kirklees who were educated at home were kept safe.

Key Stage 4 Alternative Provision

The Panel was informed that up until 2014, secondary schools were able to remove a student from their school roll at the end of KS3 and place them in an alternative provision. The Panel noted that many of these had not been quality assured and collaboration between local authority officers and key senior staff in secondary schools in Kirklees had resulted in a change of policy and practice.

During 2015/16 a group of school leaders adopted a quality assurance model to assess all alternative providers, and a list of these was then circulated to all schools. An agreement was drawn up between the provider, the school and the family that set out responsibilities and detailed the ongoing communication required between the provider and the school. Every student was now required to remain on the roll of the school, and the agreement adhered to. Mandy Cameron advised the Panel that since September 2016, 14 secondary schools had formed a group to continue this work and to increase the range of providers on offer through the quality assurance model which was monitored by the Local Authority. The Panel noted that those schools that have not joined the group had been reminded that alternative provision was now a key focus for OFSTED and recent inspections of Kirklees Secondary Schools had shown this to be the case.

In response to a question from the Panel regarding support to students who might be at risk of CSE, Mandy Cameron advised that the safeguarding of a student in alternative provision remained the responsibility of the school. If a provider became aware of any safeguarding issues concerning a student, they would inform the school who would take appropriate action.

Mandy Cameron further explained that Kirklees have a Behaviour and Attendance Collaborative (BAC) for north and south Kirklees at which all secondary schools were represented by a senior leader and Local Authority representatives. The Panel was informed that quick decisions were made at the BAC regarding in year admissions, managed moves and provision for young people at risk of exclusion. The Panel was further informed that the majority of schools had good relationships with providers of alternative provision and were in regular contact with one another. The Panel suggested that information regarding the Behaviour and Attendance Collaborative and how links could be developed with schools, be shared with the Children's Trust.

Mandy Cameron advised that parents had to agree for their child to go into alternative provision and the parent was engaged in the process, including agreeing the student's weekly pattern, eg number of work related days and days in school.

AGREED:-

- (1) That Mandy Cameron and Sharon Hewitt be thanked for attending the meeting and that the update on the safeguarding of home schooled pupils and alternative educational provision be noted.
- (2) That the Panel receive information on numbers of home schooled children at risk of CSE, including those with special needs.

3. CSE and Parental Engagement

The Panel considered an update on CSE and parental engagement presented by Mandy Cameron.

Mandy Cameron advised the Panel that parental engagement was largely undertaken by the schools that the children and young people attended, and officers had been focussed on working with school staff in order to enable them to do this. The Panel noted that this capacity building approach included specific inputs relating to CSE, alongside regular updates for the Designated Safeguarding Lead and the PSHCE Coordinator. The CSE Hub worked with the families of those children and young people that they were already engaged with, and did not have any additional capacity to carry out further work. The Kirklees CSE Hub was currently providing support and, wherever possible, one to one direct work in respect of CSE to parents and carers subject and particularly vulnerable to CSE, which included how to identify CSE and how to safeguard their children.

Mandy Cameron advised the Panel that there was currently a deficit from our partners in reporting CSE victims to Kirklees Children's Services. The Panel was informed that Kirklees was involved in a regional project with Alter Ego, which was a company who had delivered a play called Chelsea's Choice to 10 identified schools across Kirklees for years 5 to 13. Chelsea's Choice was a theatre production that had proven highly successful in raising awareness around the

issues surrounding CSE. The Panel was informed that during Safeguarding week in October 2016, 10 shows of Chelsea's Choice had been delivered to 1000 secondary school pupils in Kirklees and 2 evening performances had been delivered for parents and members of the community. The Panel noted that attendance by parents at these performances had been poor.

The Panel discussed the fact that awareness raising of CSE was not currently part of the school curriculum, so Kirklees could not enforce it. Carly Speechley advised that due to Operation Trackville, Kirklees and the Police were raising awareness of CSE with parents in those areas.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that Ofsted had reported that Kirklees was not as effective as it should be at identifying children at risk of CSE and that numbers were comparatively higher in other Local Authorities. The Panel discussed different ways that Kirklees could raise awareness of CSE amongst parents, for example, the use of adverts on the television during peak periods. The Panel raised concerns around whether all schools within Kirklees were on board with the issue of CSE awareness raising.

The Panel indicated an interest in further exploring the issue of CSE parental engagement and support for parents and acknowledged that there were language and culture barriers to some parents understanding and feeling comfortable discussing the issues.

The Panel discussed the PSHE and what could be undertaken within primary schools around raising awareness of CSE and getting information to parents. The Panel suggested that a workshop be held with the Panel on this issue and that Val Flintoff from Learning Services School Improvement, be invited to attend the session. The Panel agreed to consider a proposal on the workshop early in the new year.

AGREED:-

- (1) That Mandy Cameron and Sharon Hewitt be thanked for attending the meeting and that the update on CSE and parental engagement be noted.
- (2) That the Panel consider a proposal for a workshop on PSHE and the work that could be undertaken within primary schools around CSE parental engagement and getting information and support to parents.

4. Safeguarding training for elected members in Kirklees

The Panel considered an update on safeguarding training for elected members in Kirklees, which was presented by Sharon Hewitt.

Sharon Hewitt advised the Panel that in 2011 the Learning and Development Officers from the Kirklees Safeguarding Children's and Safeguarding Adult's Boards, were approached to provide a basic awareness level safeguarding training package for elected members. A joint course was developed and has since been run on a number of occasions for elected members.

The Panel noted that since 2011 all elected members had been advised to complete the Kirklees Safeguarding Children Board E-learning course "Awareness of child abuse and neglect".

The report outlined the numbers of elected members who had attended training courses since 2011 and the content of the course programmes. The Panel was informed that a CSE course had been held in February and March 2015 and 26 Councillors had attended in total. The Panel acknowledged that the numbers of elected members who had accessed safeguarding training overall had been disappointing. Sharon Hewitt explained that evaluation had been carried out with elected members following all training and feedback had been positive.

Sharon Hewitt advised the Panel that a set of safeguarding fact sheets had been prepared, which included 1 side of A4 on a range of safeguarding issues (CSE, forced marriage and human trafficking).

The Panel commented that some Members might not have time to attend training due to their other work commitments and some Members will have received safeguarding training elsewhere, eg for school governors. The Panel agreed that training and information for elected members needed to be specific to their role. The Panel agreed that it would be useful if the training could cover issues such as a Councillor going into a resident's home and becoming aware of an issue of CSE and how to report it.

The Panel agreed that 1 minute guides for Members on some of the key safeguarding issues, such as CSE and Corporate Parenting, would be useful. Carly Speechley agreed to draft a '1 minute guide' on CSE for Members and discuss at the next Panel meeting in January 2017.

AGREED:-

- (1) That Sharon Hewitt be thanked for attending the meeting and that the update on safeguarding training for elected members in Kirklees be noted.
- (2) That the Panel consider a '1 minute guide' for elected members on CSE at the next meeting in January 2017.

5. Update on key national and local issues in relation to CSE

The Panel considered a verbal update on the key national and local issues in relation to CSE.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that there was nothing new to report on the Jay Inquiry at this stage.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that a 12 month targeted Joint Ofsted Inspection was expected to focus specifically on CSE. The Panel noted that Carly Speechley would give an update on the learning points from the Joint Inspection to the Panel in February 2017.

AGREED:

- (1) That the update on key national and local issues in relation to CSE be noted.

6. CSE Management Information

The Panel considered an update on CSE Management Information.

The Panel agreed that a thematic analysis of contacts through to interventions would be useful, which provided details of what interventions have been put in place for children at risk of CSE.

The Panel acknowledged that there might be an increase of reported abuse following the recent media focus on the abuse of young footballers and Carly Speechley advised that nothing had come through from the West Yorkshire Police as yet.

The Panel agreed that the graph on the number of CSE contacts received per month on 'status at time of receipt' could be removed, as it did not provide additional information for Members.

AGREED:-

- (1) That the CSE Management Information be noted.
- (2) That the Panel's supporting officer be authorised to liaise with officers to obtain the requested information and address the agreed actions.
- (3) That the 12 month comparison of CSE Management Information data report, scheduled for consideration by the Panel in March 2017, include information on contacts through to interventions.

7. **CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel Agenda Plan for 2016/17**

The Panel considered the agenda plan for the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel for 2016/17.

The Panel agreed to consider the following issues:-

- Workshop on CSE Action Plan and receive a joint presentation from key officers in Kirklees and the West Yorkshire Police, to include an in-depth look at CSE case studies – date to be determined;
- Visit by Panel to CSE Hub in Dewsbury – date to be determined (January/February 2017);
- CSE Management Information 12 month comparison of data, to include details of contacts into interventions – 3rd March 2017;

AGREED:-

- (1) That the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel Agenda Plan for 2016/17 be noted and updated as agreed.

7. **Date of next meeting**

AGREED:-

- (1) That the date of the next meeting of the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel be held on Friday 3rd February 2017 at 10.30 am till 12.30 pm in the Meeting Room 1, Huddersfield Town Hall.

Contact Officer: Helen Kilroy

KIRKLEES COUNCIL

CHILD SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND SAFEGUARDING MEMBER PANEL

Friday 6th January 2017

Present: Cllrs Hill (Chair), Ahmed, Allison, Marchington, Bellamy (Observer)

In attendance: Carly Speechley, Interim Assistant Director (Family Support and Child Protection)
Ian Mottershaw, Detective Inspector, West Yorkshire Police
Mick Brown, Detective Inspector, West Yorkshire Police
Sally Williams, Head of Service (Integrated Response)
Eleanor Brazil, Commissioner for Children's Social Care
Helen Kilroy, Principal Governance and Democratic Engagement Officer

Apologies: Cllr Holmes

1 Minutes of previous meeting

The Panel considered the minutes of the meeting held on 2nd December 2016.

The Panel welcomed Eleanor Brazil to the meeting. Eleanor Brazil advised the Panel that as Commissioner for Children's Social Care she would be carrying out a review of the leadership capacity within Children's Services and that the review would be complete by the end of March.

Cllr Marchington advised that he had spoken to Val Flintoff with regard to PSHE and awareness raising of CSE and parental engagement. Val Flintoff had advised that she felt there was potential for working with the PSHE toolkit on this issue.

Cllr Marchington advised that safeguarding training for members had recently been discussed at the Group Business Managers meeting. Cllr Marchington further advised that he was the KNH champion for Safeguarding and would be attending training in January and March and would feed back to the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel on any relevant issues.

Cllr Masood Ahmed advised the Panel that he had attended Overview & Scrutiny Management Committee today, 6th January 2017, for the item on PSHE curriculum in schools presented by Val Flintoff and had discussed the issue of sex education in schools.

AGREED:-

(1) That the Minutes of the meeting held on 2nd December 2016 be agreed as a correct record.

2 Update report on historic CSE cases

The Panel considered an update from the West Yorkshire Police on historic CSE cases in Kirklees and welcomed Ian Mottershaw and Mick Brown from West Yorkshire Police to the meeting.

Live CSE Cases

Ian Mottershaw advised that since January 2016, Kirklees had recorded and were investigating 118 crimes that could be attributed to CSE. The crimes were identified using the CSE definition and also where a person involved in the crime (victim or perpetrator) has previously been identified as being involved in or at risk of CSE. Ian Mottershaw gave the following CSE data:-

- Currently 90 children identified in Kirklees as being at risk of CSE;
- The risk to each child is categorised as high, medium or low;
- 16 children were regarded as high; of those children 12 were looked after children accommodated by local authorities (5 by Kirklees and 7 from other authorities). The rise was heavily influenced by the placement of children into Kirklees from other local authorities;
- 29 children were regarded as medium risk – of those children 18 were looked after children accommodated by local authorities (15 by Kirklees and 3 from other local authorities);
- 46 children were regarding as low – of those children 11 were looked after children accommodated by local authorities (8 by Kirklees and 3 from other authorities);
- There were 11 young people, who had been placed out of area and who were at risk of CSE (although that number could change at short notice).

Ian Mottershaw advised the Panel that some local authorities were inconsistent in their notification timescales. The Panel was informed that the West Yorkshire Police had put together a bespoke package which was sent to the police force in the relevant area within the required timescales, but that this does not always get reciprocated by the police force within Kirklees. Eleanor Brazil queried what the view was of other Local Authorities in terms of the response they receive from the police force in Kirklees.

Mick Brown advised the Panel that West Yorkshire Police had undertaken significant work with children's homes, the vast majority of which were private care providers who sometimes make decisions based on financial constraints. Mick Brown further explained that when the police discovered children have been moved to the Kirklees area with significant risk and police have not been informed, this was actively being followed up with the relevant children's home. The Panel noted that the West Yorkshire Police were often not informed when children out of area had been placed with private fostering parents. Mick Brown further explained that any areas of concern could be picked up with the relevant local authorities and police.

Carly Speechley informed the Panel that children from Kirklees who were placed out of the authority, would be tracked by Kirklees Children's Services to ensure they were receiving the appropriate support and care.

Ian Mottershaw gave a confidential update on 3 areas in relation to the investigation of CSE in Kirklees, which were:-

- The situation in Kirklees in relation to current live investigations and work load;
- A summary of investigations over the last year;

- The current situation in relation to the investigation of historic allegations of CSE which were referred to as legacy cases.

Ian Mottershaw advised the Panel that the Police use 'Harbourers Orders' to reduce repeat incidents of children and young people going missing from the care of those responsible for their welfare and reduce the risk that this exposes them to. The Panel was informed that individuals who were allowing children and young people to stay at their homes without informing the parent or carer, were directly or indirectly encouraging them to go missing and stay away from their carers. Providing accommodation to missing children and young people was a recognised technique used to groom them for sexual exploitation. Ian Mottershaw further explained that it was an offence for someone with a Harbourers Order to allow a child at risk into their home.

Ian Mottershaw advised the Panel that Sexual Harm Prevention Orders could be used in relation to an individual who has been convicted or found not guilty to have done the act charged. The court needs to be satisfied that the order was necessary for protecting the public from sexual harm from the defendant or protecting children or vulnerable adults generally.

Mick Brown advised the Panel that the Police were endeavouring to utilise and test some of the intervention orders as described and these had been very effective in Kirklees with some good outcomes for children at risk.

In response to a question regarding how many of the 90 children identified in Kirklees as being at risk of CSE were male and female, Ian Mottershaw agreed to provide a breakdown in future reports. Ian Mottershaw confirmed to the Panel that the referral mechanisms were the same for boys as for girls, but acknowledged that in the main victims tended to be female.

Historic CSE

Ian Mottershaw advised that operation legacy had now been completed. The Panel was informed that this was a review of information held, applying current CSE rationale with a view to identifying adults that would have been categorised at risk of CSE when they were children. The Panel received a confidential update from Ian Mottershaw on historic cases of CSE.

Ian Mottershaw advised the Panel that it was very difficult to carry out a cold call of a potential victim of CSE if they were not yet ready to engage, but assured the Panel that the door was still open for potential victims to come forward.

In response to a question regarding why the historic investigations only went back to 2006, Ian Mottershaw advised that this date related to the police computer systems and the information that was available to undertake the necessary research, but that investigations had been carried out that were earlier than 2006.

A confidential update was given by Ian Mottershaw on Operation Tendersea. The Panel agreed that Leading Members of the Council would welcome an update on Operation Tendersea when appropriate.

The Panel agreed that they wanted to explore and understand the criminal justice side of prosecution of perpetrators of CSE and agreed to receive a future update on this matter at a date to be determined.

Ian Mottershaw advised the Panel that good relationships between the police and children's homes were paramount in order to encourage them to notify the police when a child's behaviour was changing. The panel was informed that the police were encouraging the receipt of this information and were acting upon it. Ian Mottershaw further explained that engagement with children's homes was a key priority for the police and that local PC's would visit the homes on a regular basis and build relationships with both the staff and children. The Panel was informed that these visits would be undertaken at least once a month and that all children's homes had been allocated a specific uniformed officer to liaise with and visit on a regular basis.

AGREED:-

- (1) That Ian Mottershaw and Mick Brown be thanked for attending the meeting and that the update on live and historic CSE cases be noted.
- (2) That future reports from the police include a breakdown of male and female in terms of the overall numbers of children identified in Kirklees as being at risk of CSE.

3 Findings of the Ofsted Inspection on CSE

The Panel considered feedback from the Ofsted inspection on Children's Services published on 25th November 2016 specifically relating to CSE.

Carly Speechley introduced Sally Williams as the new Head of Service for Integrated Response within Children's Services, who would be attending future meetings of the Panel.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that Ofsted inspectors found cases where there were concerns regarding young people's risk taking behaviours that had not been recognised as potential indicators of CSE and been referred to the dedicated team. Ofsted, however, noted that when the risk of CSE was identified, children and young people received effective support.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that Ofsted had found that children and young people who go missing were constantly offered a timely return interview, and effective liaison was assisted by the Police Missing Co-ordinator being located within the Children Looked After service. Ofsted found that where there was a risk of CSE, children who were looked after were offered effective support and counselling by a national voluntary organisation (Barnardo's workers based within the CSE hub). Furthermore Ofsted reported that agencies work well together at a strategic level and that this was evident in the commitment to improving services to help and protect children by way of the Development Board and sessions between Community Safety Partnerships, Kirklees Adult Safeguarding Board, Kirklees Safeguarding Children's Board and the Health & Wellbeing Board, which have identified cross cutting themes and priorities.

The Panel was informed that Ofsted inspectors had highlighted that there was more to be done operationally to ensure that agencies understood their responsibilities in relation to contributing to good quality assessments and planning for children, recognising risks in relation to CSE and information sharing and decision making in the MASH.

The Panel was advised that Ofsted clearly recognised the robust work of the dedicated Kirklees Multi Agency CSE Hub and the implementation of the newly revised 7 point Strategic Plan. There was, however, further ongoing developments required over the next few months to ensure all partner agency workers were able to recognise and respond appropriately to CSE. Ofsted had advised that only then could Kirklees be confident that it was doing its best to protect its most vulnerable children and young people.

Carly Speechley advised that one of Ofsted's main recommendations was that a transparency of governance was to be developed with each partner agency to ensure there was a cohesive approach and clear lines of accountability to the victims and survivors of CSE, thus ensuring agencies were in a position to hold each other to account.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that officers were using work streams and action plans to monitor progress.

Cllr Hill advised the Panel that one of Ofsted's main concerns was around earlier detection of risky behaviours and more intervention and prevention and that Kirklees was more proactive than reactive. The Panel recognised that the council needed to use data that targeted hot spots and that there was more work to do with social care staff and front line practitioners to understand the risk of CSE.

The Panel agreed to attend a workshop to consider the revised CSE action plan and milestones.

The Panel agreed to receive a further update on the progress of work following the Ofsted inspections recommendations – date to be determined. The Panel agreed that the report on progress would include an update on any implications of the work of CSE on staff and any issues that needed to be highlighted.

AGREED:-

- (1) That the report on the findings of the Ofsted inspection on CSE be noted.
- (2) That the Panel receive a progress report on the work within Children's Services following the Ofsted inspection, to include an update on any implications of the work of CSE on staff and any issues that needed to be highlighted – date to be determined.

4 Update on key National and Local Issues in relation to CSE

The Panel considered a verbal update on the key national and local issues in relation to CSE.

Carly Speechley advised that there was nothing new to report on the Jay report.

The Panel noted that they would attend a workshop on a date to be arranged to consider the revised CSE action plan.

The Panel agreed to receive a report in February 2017 covering the learning points from the joint inspection in 2016 which focussed on CSE.

AGREED:-

- (1) That the update on national local issues in relation to CSE be noted.

5 CSE Management Information

The Panel considered an update on CSE management information.

Carly Speechley advised that the numbers of CSE contacts remained relatively low despite the work on Operation Trackville. The Panel informed that more targeted work was planned on how to raise referrals and awareness of CSE.

Carly Speechley advised the Panel that officers had undertaken a 10% sample of 'not for actions' (NFAs) of children who did not get referred to the CSE hub following initial contact referral and agreed to forward an update to the CSE Panel on this issue. Carly Speechley further explained that officers would undertake more sampling at the MASH Threshold Review meetings on a monthly basis.

In response to a question with regard to ethnicity of perpetrators and the impact on and engagement with the wider community, Carly Speechley advised that when the arrests were made for Operation Tendersea, Children's Services and the Police had worked with the Community Cohesion Team in Kirklees with regard to the impact on the community.

The Panel noted that they had received a report from the Community Cohesion Team which had confirmed that no hate crime had been reported in relation to CSE. The Panel agreed that officers needed to be mindful of issues around ethnicity of perpetrators and the geography of where individuals lived, including the impact and reaction of the community.

The Panel noted that based on population comparison, Dewsbury and Mirfield had the highest level of CSE at risk classifications, followed by Kirklees Rural, although Huddersfield had the highest level of contacts with CSE. Carly Speechley explained to the Panel that not enough work had been done around awareness raising of CSE within North Kirklees and Kirklees Rural, but that work on profiling was progressing well meaning that more work would be able to be undertaken in these areas.

The Panel noted that they would consider the report showing a 12 month comparison of data, trends, impacts and improvements on CSE management information in either March or April 2017 to include CSE hot spots.

In response to a question regarding CSE risk classification on a month by month basis in terms of gender and under reporting, Carly Speechley advised that officers would look in more detail at the analysis of incidents of male children at risk of CSE.

Cllr Hill queried whether Kirklees was linked to the original CSE network where a lot of information was available regarding gender issues and identification and that it would be useful to look at other parts of the region to see if Kirklees was consistent. The Panel agreed that it would be useful for them to consider some comparison data.

Carly Speechley circulated a '1 minute guide' on CSE at the meeting and reported that the guide had been written for front line practitioners. Members of the Panel agreed to feedback on whether this guide would be useful for other Members or advise on what other information needed to be included, in order for the guide to be amended for use as a briefing for Elected Members on CSE.

AGREED:-

- (1) That the CSE management information be noted.
- (2) That the Panel supporting officer be authorised to liaise with officers to obtain the requested information and address the agreed actions.
- (3) That the 12 month comparison of CSE management information data report be considered by the Panel in the near future – date to be determined.
- (4) That members of the Panel feedback on the '1 minute guide' on CSE and whether it was fit for purpose for use as a briefing guide for elected members.0

6 CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel agenda plan for 2016/17

The Panel considered the agenda plan for the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel for 2016/17. The Panel agreed to hold their workshop on Friday 3rd March in the morning in place of the scheduled Panel meeting and that the times be extended to a 9.15am start, finishing by approximately 1pm. The Panel agreed to invite Osman Khan from West Yorkshire Police to the workshop to jointly present the revised CSE action plan with Sally Williams from Kirklees. The Panel noted that the workshop would cover the following issues:-

- CSE action plan
- In depth consideration of a selection of live CSE cases
- PSHE and CSE parental engagement

AGREED:-

- (1) That the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel agenda plan for 2016/17 be noted and updated as agreed.

7 Date of next meeting

AGREED:-

- (1) That the date of the next meeting of the CSE and Safeguarding Member Panel be held on Friday 3 February at 10.30am till 12.30pm in Meeting Room 1, Huddersfield Town Hall.